

|   |                 |                |  |
|---|-----------------|----------------|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | Application No. | Applicant(s)   |  |
|   | 10/615,275      | DOLMANS ET AL. |  |
|   | Examiner        | Art Unit       |  |
|   | Leslie A. Royds | 1614           |  |

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Pending

(1) Leslie A. Royds.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Janice DeYoung.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 6 March 2007

**Time:** PM

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicant's representative confirmed that a response to the Office Action of 23 August 2006 had not been filed and that the application has been abandoned in favor of a continuation application.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 06 MAR 2007  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)